

Attorney's Docket No.: 17539-020001 / STL9836

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Brenda K. Drake et al.

Art Unit

2652

Serial No.: 09/938,748

Examiner:

Tianjie Chen

Filed

Confirmation No.:

4096

: August 23, 2001

Notice of Allowance Date: January 3, 2005

Title

: DISC DRIVE CLAMP HAVING CENTERING FEATURES

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

In response to the Notice of Allowance mailed January 3, 2005, enclosed are a completed issue fee transmittal form PTOL-85b and a check for \$1730 for the required issue fee and publication fee, including patent copies.

With respect to the Examiner's reasons for allowance of claim 14, Applicants agree with the Examiner that claim 14 is allowable for substantially the same reason as claim 1. Applicants further agree with the Examiner that the recited means element invokes 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). As such, the recited means is properly interpreted to cover corresponding structures, and any equivalents thereof, that are disclosed in the specification and necessary to perform the recited function. See Northrop Grumman Corp. v. Intel Corp., 325 F.3d 1346, 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2003). Applicants agree that the inwardly extending tabs with rounded top edges correspond to the recited means. Accordingly, Applicants agree with the Examiner that claim 14, like claim 1, is allowable over the art of record for at least the reason that the prior art fails to disclose such inwardly extending tabs.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

I hereby certify under 37 CFR §1.8(a) that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage on the date indicated below and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

	January 26, 2005	
Date of Deposit		
Conl	Hommon	
Signature		
	Caryl Harriman	

Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate

Applicant: Brenda K. Drake et al.

Serial No.: 09/938,748 Filed: August 23, 2001

Page

: 2 of 2

However, Applicants respectfully disagree with the portion of the Examiner's statement that the "recited means ... should be considered as the embodiment disclosed in the specification and drawings, which includes, at least, all the limitations recited in claim 1." In construing a claim element under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), features that do not perform the recited function do not constitute corresponding structure and thus do not serve as claim limitations. *Northrop* at 1352. Various structures recited in claim 1, including but not limited to the "motor spindle hub mounted to a spindle shaft," are plainly not necessary to perform the function recited in the means element. Accordingly, to the extent to the Examiner's statements concerning claim 14 suggest that all of the structures implicated in claim 1 correspond to the means element of claim 14, Applicants respectfully object.

Please apply any additional charges or credits to our Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney's Docket No.: 17539-020001 / STL9836

Date: 24 Jan. 2005

Craige O. Thompson
Reg. No. 47,990

Fish & Richardson P.C., P.A. 60 South Sixth Street Suite 3300 Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: (612) 335-5070 Facsimile: (612) 288-9696

60272002.doc